

JOP ROMANIA – REPUBLIC OF MOLODOVA 2014 – 2020

CORRIGENDUM TO GUIDELINES FOR **HARD PROJECTS**

Reference	Proposed modification
GUIDELINES FOR GRANT APPLICANTS	
1.4.3 Type of projects	<p>More detailed definition of Infrastructure and important note added:</p> <p>“Infrastructure means permanent works and/or permanent installation of equipment which contribute to at least one Programme (common) output that is specifically addressed by the project. Infrastructure must be entirely described in the feasibility study.”</p> <p><i>Take note that: Stand-alone acquisition of equipment and endowments that do not require permanent installation is not considered infrastructure.</i></p>
Section 2.4.6 Indicative eligible activities	<p>Adjustments and reorganizing of the list of examples of eligible activities and reorganizing the list, and important note added:</p> <p><i>Priority 4.1:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">– <i>Joint activities meant to enhance the access to health in the border area through construction / rehabilitation or modernization (e.g. by use of renewable energy) of infrastructure of public health services (outpatient, emergency room facilities, medical centres, integrated social intervention, etc.), which may include installation of the specific equipment and endowments;</i>– <i>Developing labs for screening / clinical monitoring of diseases and prevention of cross border epidemics;</i> <p><i>In addition to activities related to the infrastructure component, projects may include other activities such as:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">– <i>Joint training programs and exchange of experience, networking for supporting the functioning of the specific public medical services, telemedicine;</i>– <i>Mobile labs for screening / clinical monitoring of diseases and prevention of cross border epidemics, specialised medical equipment;</i>– <i>Acquisition of specific equipment and endowments for public medical facilities (outpatient, emergency room, medical centres, integrated social intervention, etc.)</i>

Reference	Proposed modification
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Exchange of experience, joint activities in order to ensure compatibility of the treatment guidelines, joint diagnosis programmes; – Awareness campaigns concerning public education on health, diseases and prevention of epidemics. <p>Note added: “Stand-alone acquisition of equipment and endowments that do not require permanent installation is not considered infrastructure.”</p>
<p>Chapter 2.2.1 - Eligibility requirements for the Applicant and partners, letter h)</p>	<p>The requirement to open an account in a State Bank in Republic of Moldova has been removed.</p> <p>Any organization, be it Applicant or Partner they must be able to open a dedicated bank account. Any organization, be it Applicant or Partner in a project, must be legally able to transfer/receive funds to/from foreign countries.</p>
<p>Section 2.4.2 Project’s contribution to the Programme</p>	<p>The following phrase concerning the Programme indicators has been corrected:</p> <p>A project will only be selected if it demonstrates its effective contribution to at least one Programme (common) Output (specific for the Priority chosen) and or to at least one of the corresponding Output indicators.</p>
<p>2.6.8. Further information for the Applicants</p>	<p>A footnote has been introduced, in order to inform the potential applicants about the contact data of branch office in Chisinau.</p> <p>JTS Branch Office Chişinău e-mail:..... :</p> <p><i>The email address shall be published on the Programme web-site as soon as become available</i></p>
<p>Section 4.2. Project Implementation. <u>Procurement procedures</u></p>	<p>A note has been introduced, to clarify the type of procurement procedure that have to be applied by the entities from Republic of Moldova, before and after grant contract signature.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">▶▶▶ TAKE NOTE THAT</p> <p>For costs mentioned at section 2.5 i) and j) above, the entities from Republic of Moldova may use the national procurement rules, provided that Articles 52 to 56 of the ENI Implementing Regulation 897/2014 are respected.</p> <p>For all other costs mentioned at section 2.5 above, the provisions of the <i>Procurement and grants for European Union external actions</i> (PRAG) shall be applied.</p>

Reference	Proposed modification
<u>2.6.3 Deadline for submission of proposals,</u>	The deadlines shall be revised, provided that the MA proposal for extending the call with 10 days will be approved by the JMA.
<u>2.6.8 Further information for the Applicants,</u>	The new deadline for call would be 21 st of May 2018
<u>3.3 Indicative timetable</u>	
Chapter 6 Glossary of terms	More detailed definition of the infrastructure: permanent works and/or permanent installation of equipment which contribute to at least one Programme (common) output that is specifically addressed by the project.
ANNEXES	
Annex J1 HARD - Check list for administrative and eligibility verification	Rephrasing of question 41:
Step 1: Section Eligibility Check, question 41	The Partner is a legal entity registered and located in the Programme area.
Annex J1 HARD Check list for administrative and eligibility verification	Removal of question 4 ⁴ from the Check list.
Step 1: Section Eligibility Check, question 4⁴	
Annex J1 HARD Check list for administrative and eligibility verification	Rephrasing of question 12:
Step 1: Section Eligibility Check, question 12	In case the flexibility rule applies, <u>in the conditions set at section 2.4.4.2 of the Guidelines for Grant Applicants</u> , is the share of budget to be spent by the project <u>for the activities implemented</u> outside <u>the Programme area</u> of maximum 10% of the total budget?

Reference	Proposed modification
Annex J3 HARD Evaluation Grid Step 2: Section 1.1.b Cross border impact of the project	The question 2 have been corrected: <i>The cross border approach supports achievement of the General/and Special Specific Objectives of the project</i>
Annex J.3 Evaluation Grid (technical and financial evaluation)	Minor additions to some criteria: 2.1(a) General Objective – reference to section A2 of the Application Form has been inserted 2.1(b) Specific Objectives Objective – reference to section A2 of the Application Form has been inserted 3.3(f) Capacity building activities – thresholds have been corrected (more than 5 points = “very good”; between 3-4 points = “adequate”; below 3 points = “poor”)
Annex J3 HARD Evaluation Grid Step 2: Section 2.2.a question 2	Removal of the second part of question 2: <i>The Partners chosen including, if the case, those located outside the core regions, clearly support achievement of the project Results and objectives. If applicable, the participation of Partners located outside the programme area is well substantiated, and required by the nature and project objectives.</i>
Annex J3 HARD Evaluation Grid Step 2: Section 2.2.c question 2	The question 2 have been rephrased, for introducing the correct name of the budget line related to equipment: <i>Ratio between the total amount of the budget line 4.2.1 Office equipment and endowment and total amount at heading 1. Human Resources is less than 10%.</i>
Annex J3 HARD Evaluation Grid Step 2: Section 3.3.f	1) There are material errors in the section where the ratings are detailed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very good: 7 points (out of 5 maximum points available at this section) • Adequate: 4 – 6 (out of 5 maximum points available at this section) • Poor: < 4 (out of 5 maximum points available at this section) Considering the maximum number of points for this section is 5, the scores are corrected as following : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very good: 5 points • Adequate: 3 – 4 points • Poor: < 3 points Capacity building activities are adequate to specificities of those benefitting e.g. project partners, target groups, final beneficiaries. They are adequate considering the project size and complexity.
Annex J4 HARD Evaluation grid	CHAPTER 2 - CONTENT ASSESSMENT

Reference	Proposed modification
Step3	<p>Scores at section 2 Content assessment have been changed from 0.5 points to 1 point per criterion and a total of 10 points per section. Consequently, the maximum score was changed to 10 (10*1).</p> <p>The ratings were changed accordingly:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very good: 10 points • Adequate: 5 – 9 points • Poor: < 5 points
Annex A.1 HARD - Indicative infrastructure budget breakdown Chapter 4, line 4.7	<p>At line 4.7 <i>Contingency reserve</i>, column “Corresponding budget line” the relevant chapter was corrected from 3.2 to 10.</p>
Annex A.2 HARD – Justification of costs	<p>In order to have an equal approach with all the potential beneficiaries at the level of the Programme, in Annex A.2 – Justifications of costs instructions were provided.</p>
Annex A.2 Justification of costs	<p>Description of heading 7 Information and visibility actions and for heading 9 Administrative costs has been corrected:</p> <p>“7. <i>Communication and visibility actions (minimum 2% of total direct eligible costs excluding costs at budget heading 3 Infrastructure and 7 Communication and visibility actions)</i></p> <p>9. Administrative costs (maximum 7% of total direct eligible costs excluding costs at budget heading 3 Infrastructure)</p>
Application form	<p>Budget line 5.3. Translation, interpreters – a new formula has been added.</p> <p>The application form was renamed <i>2.0 Application Form</i> as to distinguish it from its previous version.</p>
Annex K Draft grant contract	<p>Article 9 Public procurement have been completed with provisions related to procurement procedures to be used before the grant contract signature by the entities from Republic of Moldova. A new point b) have been introduced:</p> <p>b) the Lead Beneficiaries / Beneficiaries established in the Republic of Moldova shall apply the provisions of the national procurement law, for costs mentioned at Articles 8.1.i) and 8.1.j). For all other costs mentioned at Article 8, the provisions of Article 9.3.a) above shall apply,</p> <p>The former point b) becomes point c)</p>